

Minutes of the 19th Expert Advisory Committee meeting held on 22nd January, 2009 in the Conference Room of the Directorate General under the Chairmanship of Director General, Archaeological Survey of India to examine and make recommendations on the appeal cases for constructions / reconstructions in the prohibited and regulated areas of the centrally protected monuments.

In the meeting following members of the Committee and officers from ASI were present.

1. Shri Mohd. Shaheer - Member, EAC

Officers from ASI

1. Dr. Vijay S. Madan, Additional Director General (part of the meeting agenda item no.23 onwards)
2. Dr. B.R. Mani, Joint Director General
3. Shri C. Dorje, Director (Monuments)
4. Shri A.K. Sinha, S.A. (M)
5. Shri K.K. Mohammed, SA, Delhi Circle
6. Smt. Sathyabhama Badhreenath, SA, Chennai Circle
7. Shri I.D, Dwivedi, SA, Lucknow Circle
8. Shri S.N.Kesarwani, SA, Chandigarh Circle
9. Shri Jasmer Singh, SO, Chandigarh Circle
10. Shri G.S. Narasimhan, SA, Mumbai Circle
11. Shri R.K. Tewari, Surveyor Gr.I Agra Circle
12. Shri Daljeet Singh, Dy. SA, Delhi Circle
13. Shri D.N. Dimri, SA, Agra Circle
14. Shri A.K. Pandey, Dy. SA, Bhopal Circle
15. Shri Arakhita Pradhan, Assistant Archaeologist, Agra Circle
16. Shri A.C. Roy, ASAE, Aurangabad Circle
17. Shri V.K.Swarnkar, Dy. SA, Delhi Circle
18. Shri D.K. Bhardwaj, Surveyor Gr.I
19. Shri R.S. Rana, AO (M),
20. Shri Rajender Prasad, WA Gr.I
21. Shri Gopal Singh, LDC

Considering the large number of cases pending for the Committee's consideration and the fact that SAs/other officers from six Circles besides Delhi were present, it was decided that the meeting be held even in the absence of a quorum. However, the cases would be put up to DG, ASI for decision only after the Monument Section presents them to at least two other members of the Expert Advisory Committee and obtains their concurrence.

Following cases pertaining to grant of permission in the prohibited and regulated areas of the centrally protected monuments were examined by the Committee -

VADODARA CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
1.	C.S.No. 1628 & 1629, Sheet No.38, Dwarka , Late Sh. Ramniklal Vithaldas Thaker	Dwarkadhish Group of Temples	Prohibited	a) 1.00 m b) 1.00 m	Reconstruction of residential building	Site of proposed construction surrounded by many residential building G.F, G.F. 1 & 2 upto ht. 4 to 12 m	The existing building is in dilapidated condition & some cracks have developed on the walls & slab. The Chief Officer, Dwarka Nagarpalika,	The old existing building is in dilapidated condition and some cracks are developed on walls & slab. Hence, the reconstructi	SA, Vadodara Circle has rejected the request of the applicant.	SA, Vadodara Circle gave a power point presentation before the Committee explaining the ground condition with the help of area map, photographs, Google Earth image and drawings. He also informed that this is the only proposal which has been received from private person for

	husband of Smt. Premila ben Ramniklal Thaker						Dwarka has issued a letter dated 28.11.07 to the applicant for repairs of existing building and has also informed the applicant that in case of reconstruction of building, he may also obtain NOC from ASI.	on is necessary.		reconstruction in the prohibited area of the monument. The Committee was of the opinion that the distance between the monument and the site of reconstruction is just 1 m. Moreover, the existing building does not appear to be in dilapidated condition and hence it was recommended that the proposal may be rejected by the ASI.
2.	CS No.869 to 873, 875 & 876, Tika No.2, Shri Abdulkarim Rulbhai Ghanchi & Shri Abdulkarim	Beholol Khan's Gazi's Mosque, Dholka, Dist., Ahmedabad	Prohibited area	a) adjacent to the protected area b) 8.00 m	Reconstruction of primary school building	Proposed site is surrounded by a school building, commercial and residential buildings with height ranging from 3 to 6 m	The proposed reconstruction site exists in CS No.876 (Part) with the max. height of 6.00 m. Other buildings have been demolished by the applicant.	The existing building has been demolished by the applicant.	The existing building has been demolished by the applicant. SA, Vadodara Circle has rejected the request of the applicant.	SA, Vadodara Circle gave a power-point presentation with the help of photographs, drawings and area map. The Committee was not satisfied with the home work done by the Circle as with the data made available it is not possible to evaluate the ground conditions. It was also observed that the Circle should have collected the details of the building which

	Nathubhai Ghanchi, Trustee of Madni Prathmik Shala, Dholka.									originally exists at the site. Prof. M. Shaheer mentioned that the applicant should have been asked to submit the relevant documents where by he was asked by the Municipal authorities to demolish the building. It was desired by the Committee that SA, Vadodara Circle should undertake better documentation of the ground condition in relation to the monument and collect the copy of the letter issued by the Municipal authorities for demolition of the original building at the site and revert back to the Committee. The matter was deferred.
3.	R.S.No. 210/Part, Samalpati Patan, Dist. Patan,,	Sahastraling Tank, Patan, Dist. Patan	Regulated area	a)12 0.00 m b)17 0.00 m	Construction of Veermaya Smarak Sankul	A road exists between the monument and proposed site.	Applicant has already raised the frame structure (GF+1). Now the work has been stopped	The construction is proposed for erection of a memorial for	SA,ASI, Vadodara Circle has forwarded NOC application to the DG, ASI New Delhi vide letter dated 18.9.2008 and has	The Committee desired that Vadodara Circle may consider granting permission for construction at the site upto the maximum height of 15 m subject to clearance from the

	Shri Zaverbhai Chavda, Chairman of Veermaya Smarak Samiti, Gujarat Vankar Samaj, Pattan.						by the applicant. The Collector, Patan has approved the lay-out plan of the proposed construction.	Veermaya who had sacrificed his life for a noble cause.	informed that the site was inspected by the official on 9.9.2008 and it is found that the applicant has already raised frame of the structure (GF + 1) without obtaining NOC from the ASI. The work has been stopped by the applicant. The proposed site falls within the regulated area and also the buffer zone of Rani-ki-Vav, Patan which is being proposed for inclusion in the World Heritage List.	World Heritage Section of the Directorate General, since the nomination dossier for Rani-ki-Vav, Patan is under preparation under its guidance.
4.	C.S.No. 398(old C.S.No. 437 & 438/A), F.P.No. 132, T.P.S.No.5, Section		--	--	--	--	--	--	SA, Vadodara Circle has informed that this case falls within the regulated area.	The Committee desired that SA, Vadodara Circle may take a view in the matter since the property falls in the regulated area, as per the powers delegated to him.

No.7, Dariyap ur Ward No.2, Ahmeda bad near Delhi Gate, Ahmeda bad by the Preside nt of Gulam Farid Sahed Masjid Trust, Shri Rajavi Mayudd in Lal Mohma d, G.F., Rajavi Apartm ent, Sajan jamada r Mohala, Delhi									
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

	Chakla, Ahmedabad									
5.	PTS No.78/28-B/Part, Moti Daman (UT) Highway Services Pvt.Ltd., Moti Daman, UT.	Church of Our Lady of Remedios, Moti Daman	Regulated area	a) 118.00 m b)130.00 m	Construction of residential building	A road exists between the monument and proposed site. The proposed site is surrounded by residential buildings upto the height ranging from 4.00 m to 24.00 m.	Vacant Plot	-	Applicant had appealed to the DG, ASI and SA, Vadodara for the construction with height upto 33.00 m i.e. 31.00 m (Parking+10), excluding parapet wall, stair cabin, lift machine room and OHWT.	Although the SA, Vadodara Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal with the help of a few photographs, drawings and locality map, the Committee desired that more photographs, area map showing the existing buildings duly marked with their respective height, and Google Earth image need to be made available by SA, Vadodara Circle for the Committee to make an assessment of the ground situation. The proposal was deferred.
6.	FP No.140/Part, TPS No.14, The Estate officer, Estate Depart	Achyut (Kuki) Bibi's Masjid & Tomb, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad	Prohibited area	a) 22.00 m b) Adjacent to the protected	Reconstruction of residential buildings	-	Vacant plot	Economically Weaker section Residential Housing Scheme.	-	SA, Vadodara Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal with the help of photographs, area map, Google Earth image and drawings before the Expert Advisory Committee. He also informed the

	ment (Housing project) Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation, FF Children Hospital Building, Mithakhali, Ahmedabad.			area						Committee that the Ahmedabad Municipal Committee is insisting that the Director General had accorded permission for undertaking construction on the same property in 2006 and as such permission for further construction may be accorded by the ASI. The Committee desired that records in the Directorate General may be checked to ascertain the nature of permission given. The Committee deferred the matter.
7.	CS No.4059 ward No.4, Bharuch Shri Ismile Sulemani Multani, Bharuch	Jami Masjid, Bharuch	Prohibited Area	a)10.00 m b)10.00 m	Reconstruction of residential building	Road exists between the monument and proposed site	Old existing building (GF+2) was of the height 9.00 m. The old existing building was in dilapidated condition and badly damaged. The Chief Office, Bharuch	The old building was in dilapidated condition & needs to be reconstructed.	-	Although a fresh power-point presentation was given by SA, Vadodara Circle explaining the ground conditions, the Committee desired that the details of the earlier construction existing at the site should have been collected by the SA. It was also suggested that more photographs, proper

							Nagar Palika, Bharuch had also issued a note to the applicant to demolish or repair the existing building. Hence the applicant had demolished the old building.			area map showing the existing buildings with their respective height should be made available to the Committee for understanding the ground conditions better. The members also desired that SA may obtain a copy of the letter issued by the Municipal Committee to the applicant to demolish the original structure. A decision on the proposal was deferred.
8.	Sub-plot No.3& 4 F.P.No. 31, T.P.S.No.3 (Varied) . C.S.No. 439, & 440, Usmanpura, Ahmedabad	Sayed Usman' s Mosque & Tomb, Usmanpura, Ahmedabad.	Prohibited Area	28.00 mtrs.	Re-construction of residential building.	North: Road & GF+1&2, ht. 7 to 10 mtrs. South: GF+1 & GF+2, ht. 7 to 10 mtrs. East : GF+2 & 3, height 10 to 12 mtrs. West : GF+1 & GF+2, height 7 to 10 mtrs.	Existing building has already been demolished by the applicant.	Due to very old construction, the applicant had demolished the building and now wants to reconstruct the same.	_____	SA, Vadodara Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal with the help of area map, photographs of the monument, site of construction and buildings existing at the site, Google Earth image and also the details of height of buildings existing between the monument and site of construction. The Committee taking

	near Saiyad usman's Mosque & Tomb by M/S Hiramani land Development Pvt. Ltd.									into consideration the details furnished and power-point presentation recommended that the ASI may allow construction of the building upto the height of 10 m with a mumty over the terrace. Apart from mumty no other construction should be permitted over the terrace.
9.	CS No.4089 , Ward No.4, Bharuch, Smt. Rukshanaben Mohamadibhai Ankleshwaria	Jami Masjid, Bharuch	Prohibited	a) 14.60 m b) 60.00 m	North: Resi Bldg. GF+2 (ht upto 11 mts) South: Resi Bldg. G+1 (ht upto 8 mts) East: Resi Bldg. G+2 (ht upto 10 mts) West: Road & Resi Bldg. G+2 (ht 10 mts)	North: Road & School Bldg. GF+1 (ht upto 9 mts) South: Road & Resi bldg. GF+1 & 2 (ht upto 12 mts) East: Road & Resi Bldg. GF+2 (ht upto 10 mts) West: Road & Resi Bldg. GF+1& 2 (ht upto 12 mts)	The old building has already been demolished.	Since it was an old construction.	During the site inspection, it is observed that the applicant has already demolished the old existing building. The height of the old existing building was 10.00 m. The applicant wants to reconstruct a new residential building with a height of 03.60 m excluding parapet wall. The	SA, Vadodara Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal with the help of area map, photographs of the monument, site of construction and buildings existing at the site, Google Earth image and also the details of height of buildings existing between the monument and site of construction. The Committee recommended that the ASI may allow the

									proposed reconstruction of residential building falls within the prohibited area at a distance of 60.00 m from the protection limits of the monument.	applicant to reconstruct the building upto the maximum height of 3.60 m.
10.	Kankaria Lake Front Development Project, Ahmedabad & The City Engineer, Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation, Ahmedabad	Inlet to Kankaria Tank, Ahmedabad	Prohibited and regulated area	a) & b) 0.00 m to 276.00 m	1) 3 nos. Toilets 2) Balwatika Plaza 3) Food Court 4) Larri Cluster 5) Plaza 6) Train Track	Proposed construction site surrounded by Kankaria tank, Ahmedabad	-	Kankaria Lake Front Development Project	SA has forwarded the application to the DG, ASI on 15.12.2008.	SA, Vadodara Circle gave a power-point presentation on the Project and informed the Committee that the road around the lake is now being used for running the toy-train and the vehicular traffic on the road has been totally abandoned. He informed that only inlet channel with an arched bridge, which is protected by the ASI, is the monument affected because of the Project. The Committee observed that on perusal of the photographs and drawings presented, it seems the proposal is in the interest of the monument. It was,

										however, desired that the Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation may be asked to give a power-point presentation before the Committee in the next meeting to take a final view. The decision on the proposal was deferred.
11.	CS No.4596 , Ward No.4, Bharuch, Shri Amirbeg Nazirbeg Mirza, C/1709, Malbari Darwaja O/o Jeliben's House, Bharuch.	Jami Masjid, Bharuch	Prohibited area	a) 64.00 m b) 64.00 m	Construction of residential building	Surrounding situation and approximate height of the existing buildings near the monument - North: Road & school building (GF+1), height upto 09.00 mts. South: Road & residential buildings (GF+1&2), height upto 12.00 mts. East: Road & residential building(GF+2) height upto 10.00 mts. West: Road & residential	Open Plot	The proposed land was allotted to the applicant by the Government being a poor person and having no land to reside.	-	SA, Vadodara Circle gave a fresh power-point presentation on the proposal with the help of area map, photographs of the monument, site of construction and buildings existing at the site, Google Earth image and also the details of height of buildings existing between the monument and site of construction. The Committee observed that the area around the monument is dotted with modern buildings and construction as proposed at the site

						<p>buildings (GF+1&2), height upto 12.00 mts.</p> <p>North: Road & residential building (GF+1), height upto 07.00 mts.</p> <p>South: Open land of Khadi.</p> <p>East: Road & commercial/residential building G.F. & GF+1 ht. 4.00 to 6.00 mts.</p> <p>East: Open land, Road & residential building (GF+1), height upto 06.00 mts.</p> <p>West: Open land, Open land & residential building (GF+1) height upto 06.00 mts.</p>				<p>shall not cause any obstruction in viewing the monument. Hence, the ASI may grant permission for construction at the site upto the height of 3.6 m (maximum).</p>
12.	CS No.1165 /A/1 & 1165/A/4 (Part), Ward	Old Dutch & Armeni an Tomb's	Prohibit ed area	a) 43 m b) 16.4 0 m	Construction of a residential building with height of 12.80 m (GF+3 rd floor) excluding	<p>North: Residential buildings GF+1, 2&3 height, 8.00 to 15.00 meters.</p> <p>South: Road &</p>	Open Plot	-	Applicant has an open plot. He wants to construct a residential building having height of 12.80 m	The Committee desired to know the details of earlier structure existing at the site and also the reason why the same was demolished. The

	<p>no.7, Surat, Shri D.M. Charistiana, partner of M/s Paradise, Surat</p>	<p>Cemeteries, Surat</p>			<p>parapet wall, stair cabin.</p>	<p>residential building GF+1&2, height 8.00 to 12.00 mts. East: Road & residential building GF+1,2&3, height 8.00 to 15.00 meters. West: Adjacent commercial building, GF & Residential building GF+1&2, height 4.00 to 12 meters. North: Road, adjacent residential building GF+3, height upto 15.00 meters & residential GF&GF+1&2, ht. 8 mts. South: adjacent residential building GF+3 height 15 meters, Road & GF residential building ht. 4.00 meters. East:</p>			<p>(GF +3rd floor) excluding parapet wall, stair cabin + OHWT. The proposed construction falls within the prohibited area at a distance of 43.00 m from the protection limits of the monument.</p>	<p>members also felt that better photographs and lay-out area plan showing the existing buildings with their respective height need to be placed before the Committee for taking a decision. The decision on the proposal was deferred.</p>
--	--	--------------------------	--	--	-----------------------------------	--	--	--	---	---

						Road & residential building (GF+2), height upto 10.00 mts East: Adjacent commercial building GF+2 height 12 meters & NE Corner residential GF+3 ht. 15 meters. West: Adjacent commercial building (shed) & GF height 5 meters.				
13.	C.S.No.6 579/P, 6592/P & 6593/P, Shahpur -II, Ahmedabad near Rani Rupvati's Mosque, Ahmedabad – Gujarat by the Chairman /	Rani Rupvati's Mosque, Ahmedabad	Prohibited Area	a.72 mtrs. b. 44 mtrs.	Construction of commercial building.	North: GF & GF+2, height 4 to 7 mtrs., internal road GF+1 & Cellar +GF+7, height 22 mtrs. South: Road & GF+1 & Cellar+GF+7, height 22 mtrs., GF, GF+1, height 4 to 7 mtrs. East : GF, GF+1, height 4 to 7 mtrs. West: Road & court building,	Open plot	—	—	The Committee felt that the proposed construction is likely to overshadow the monument and the overall ambience. It was recommended that the ASI may not permit the proposed construction.

	Secretary of Cama & Nahar Association.					height upto 22 mtrs. and GF, Road & open land, height 4 mtrs.				
--	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

MUMBAI CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protect ed/ Prohibi ted/ Regula ted Area	Dist- ance (a) from prot ected limit (b) from mon ument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
14.	CTS No.1/43 1, of Parel Seweri Division of Khapri deo CHS, Parel Mumbai by M/s Omkar Realtors & Developers Pvt. Ltd., Om	Monolithic bas relief depicting Siva	Regulat ed Area	a) 150.00 m b) NA	Re-development of slum area by providing residential buildings and other amenities under the Slum Rehabilitation Scheme.	a) The sculpture is housed in a room. The surrounding area is thickly populated & partially developed with residential-cum-commercial buildings. The other side is occupied by huts / shanties / chawls. b) The area is occupied by huts/shanties/ chawls.	--	The proposed developme nt envisages more breathing space, wider roads, green areas, well laid out residential blocks, etc.	The Monolithic Bas relief of Siva was discovered in the year 1931 by the workmen engaged in building a road from the village Parel to Sewri in Bombay. It is now installed in a modern structure at a short distance from the site and is in the custody of Chandika Devi Sansthan, a Temple situated adjacent to it.	The Committee felt that the sculpture of Siva is not <u>in-situ</u> but has been kept in a modern structure after it was found somewhere else. It was, therefore, recommended that the ASI may allow construction of the building, as proposed, subject to clearance of local bodies as per their rules and regulations. SA, Mumbai was also advised to initiate a proposal for denotification of the Monolithic Bas Relief as it is not <u>in-situ</u> , it is

	<p>Shiv Sai CHS of Eastern Express Highwa y, Opp. Sion Chunna bhatti Signal Sion, Mumba i</p>							<p>SA, Mumbai Circle has informed that the sculpture is not <u>in-situ</u> and also considering its status as a lone standing sculpture in a modern one room structure, the Committee has cleared a number of proposals coming in the prohibited / regulated area and the height has been relaxed mainly with a view that the area which is presently surrounded with slum, shanties, etc. will become presentable and neat and tidy, since the present proposal, comes under redevelopment-cum-slum rehabilitation scheme.</p>	<p>housed in a modern structure and not visible, and it is under worship.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---

15.	CTS No.8729 Community Hall in front of Asar Mosque at Asar Ground near Solapur Fort in respect of Shri Adil Shabbir Moulavi	Solapur Fort	Prohibited & regulated area	4.12 m approx.	Proposed construction site is situated on the north - eastern side of the monument.	-	-	-	-	The members of the Committee observed that the ambience of the monument is intact and there exists no modern structure in close vicinity. They were of the opinion that construction of community hall at such a close distance shall disturb the ambience and hence the request for permission to construct should be rejected by the ASI.
16.	S.No. 364-365/14, Final Plot No.713+714/14, Bhamburda, Shivaji Nagar, Pune in favour of Shri Shyam	Pataleswar Caves	Regulated area	a) - b) 119.00 m	Construction is in progress. Further increase in height for 3 m has been requested.	a)Thickly populated and well developed residential-cum-commercial area. There are many structures having approx height of 12 to 20 m. b) –do- c) Jangli Maharaj Road	-	The applicant has stated that the proposed development with the height of 15 m is not economically viable.	-	SA, Mumbai Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal and shown the photographs of the monument, site of construction and the existing buildings around, area map and Google Earth image. After having perused the ground conditions based upon the presentation given by

	Agrawal.									SA, Mumbai Circle, the Committee felt that a number of neighbouring buildings are more than 15 m in height and allowing additional height upto 18 m shall in no way affect the monument and its visibility. They recommended that the ASI may permit additional height i.e. more than 15 m but in any case less than 18 m, taking into consideration the height of neighbouring buildings.
17.	Plot bearing TS No.1331, 1331/1 to 1331/13 of Village Eksar, Borivali (West), Mumbai M/S Kartik	Mandapeswar Caves	Regulated area	a) 176 m b) NA	Reconstruction of the building	a) In front of the caves there is a road and further beyond is the slum. On the southern side, there is an educational institution and on the north side is some multi storied buildings varying in height from single storied to ground	The building is old.	The applicant has stated that the existing building is in dilapidated and inhabitable condition. The proposed building shall	-	SA, Mumbai Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal and shown the photographs of the monument, site of construction and the existing buildings around, area map and Google Earth image. The Committee examined the proposal and observed that the presentation does not

	Enterpr ises					+ 6 floors. b) There are many multi storied buildings surrounding the site having a height of ground+ 3 floors to ground+6floors. c) There is a road in front of the site.		rehabilitate the existing tenants and rest of tenements will be for sale in open market to meet the project cost/viable .		contain the details of the buildings which fall in alignment between the site of construction and the monument under reference. It was felt that the details in respect of height of the existing buildings should be furnished to the Committee for perusal. The decision on the proposal was deferred.
18.	CTS Nos.134 3, 1343/1& 2 village Eksar, and CTS Nos.221, 221/1-11 in the vicinity of Mandap eshwar caves in r/o Shri V.S. Vaidya &	Manda peswar Cave	Regulat ed area	a) 165. 00 m b) -	Construction / addition of height of 7.65 m	a) In front of caves there is a road and further beyond is the slum on the Southern side a educational building exists. On northern side is located multi-storied buildings having single storied to Ground + 6 floors. b) There are many multi storied building surrounding the site having Ground +3 floors	-	The applicant has stated that as per D.C. Regulation for Greater Mumbai the minimum height of classroom etc. is 3.6 m and the require- ment of ICSE for establish- ment of ICSE	-	The Committee felt that the proposal for increase in height may be rejected by the ASI since no building exists between the site of construction and the monument. They felt that permitting increase in the height over 15 m will disturb the skyline.

	Co.,Dadar (E), Mumbai					to Ground + 6 floors. c) There is a road in front of the site.		School can not be met with Ground + 2 bldg. The building is required to be constructed having Ground +5 floors with 22.65 mts height with basement for parking.		
19.	C.S. No.141, Shaniwar Peth, Pune within restricted area from Shaniwar Wada, Pune in favour of Shri Sumant Dattatraya	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	SA, Mumbai Circle has informed that the applicant has not submitted the required documents so far. A request is being made to him accordingly.	SA, Mumbai Circle informed that the applicant has not submitted the required documents so far. A request is being made to him accordingly. In absence of details, the matter was deferred.

	Paranjape, Shanwar Peth, Pune.									
20.	Siva Temple, Ambernath, Distt. Thane submitted by Dy. Engineer, PW sub-division, Ulhasnagar, Maharashtra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SA, Mumbai Circle has informed that the proposal submitted by the applicant is incomplete and hence it is difficult to submit a report.	SA, Mumbai Circle informed that the proposal submitted by the applicant is incomplete and hence it is difficult to submit a report. In absence of details, the matter was deferred.
21	Plot No.2481, CS No.2/42 1, Parel-Sewree Division F Ward, Parel,	Monolithic bas relief depicting Siva	Prohibited area	a) 11.25 m b) NA	The proposal is for the addition of third and fourth floors over the existing building.	a)The sculpture is housed in a room of modern times. The surrounding area is thickly populated & partially developed with residential-cum-	-	-	A Writ Petition has been filed by one Shri Shrikant Hatkar against Union of India, Secretary, GOI, DG, SA and Care taker as well as M/S New Look	The Committee observed that in view of the fact that the monolithic bas relief which is declared as protected is not <u>in situ</u> and was found at some other location during the construction of a

	<p>Mumbai in favour of Shri P.M. Gajeralwar, Gandhi Nagar Mumbai</p>					<p>commercial buildings and other side is the area occupied by huts/shanties/chawls. The buildings are Ground-storied to Ground+22 floors.</p> <p>b)The area is occupied by huts/shanties/chawls</p> <p>c) There is only a lane between monument and site.</p>		<p>Constructions, being the respondents. The main contention of the petitioner is that the license for construction was accorded when the construction was almost completed and this fact has not been informed to the Department. Mumbai Circle has issued notice to M/S New Look after noticing the illegal construction in the regulated area of the monument namely monolithic bas relief of Siva. Subsequently, the applicant approached the DG, ASI for issuing the license. The proposal was presented before</p>	<p>road which is presently housed in a modern structure, the ASI may grant permission for increasing the height by adding 3rd and 4th floors besides the lift machine room and over head tank.</p>
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

									the Expert Advisory Committee and after going through the details, the Directorate granted the license. So far the matter has not come up for hearing before the Bench.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

KOLKATA MUMBAI CIRCLE

SI No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protect ed/ Prohibi ted/ Regula ted Area	Dist-ance (a) from prot ected limit (b) from mon ument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
22.	Sri Sumant ra Chowdh ury, IAS, Managi ng Director , Kolkata Metro Rail Corpor ation Ltd., Muns i Premch and Sarani, Kolkata	Maghe n Devid Synago gue Church	Prohibi- ted and Regula- ted area	-	-	-	-	-	Additional Chief Secretary, Transport Department of Govt. of West Bengal has requested to re- schedule the meeting on any date after 4.2.2009. He himself wants to make a presentation before the Committee to seek exemption for construction in prohibited area.	Additional Chief Secretary, Transport Department of Govt. of West Bengal has requested for an opportunity to make a presentation before the Expert Advisory Committee on any date after 4.2.2009. The Committee agreed with the request of the Transport Department of Government of West Bengal.

DELHI CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
23.	M/s I.C. Constructions and Services , F1/5, Hauz Khas Enclave , New Delhi	Chor Minar	Prohibited area	(a) 69m (b) 69m	Reconstruction of Building with height 9.93m (32.57feet) from ground level upto top level The applicant wants waiver of the conditions imposed by the EAC on 28-03-2008	North: Residential building with 9m to 12.50m height approx. South: Residential building with 8m to 10m height East: Road and park West: Residential building with 9m to 12.50m height approx. .	Ground floor, first floor and partly built second floor and the actual height of the existing building is 7.46m	Building is old and in dilapidated condition	The matter was placed before the Expert Advisory Committee on 28-03-2008 and permission for rectification/ modification upto the maximum height of 9.93m with the condition / specialisation that no additional constructions is to be undertaken and reconstruction shall not be undertaken beyond floor wise	The request was explained by the applicant by the SA, Delhi Circle. The Committee after re-examining the ground situations recommended that the restriction imposed to restrict the floor-wise area while taking up the reconstruction of the building may be withdrawn subject to the condition that the maximum height of the building to be constructed shall not be more than 9.93 m, as

									covered area of the existing building.	already recommended in the Expert Advisory Committee meeting held on 28.3.2008. It was also observed that similar relaxation had been given in respect of other cases also in the earlier meetings of the Committee.
24.	Smt. Raj Mago, A-1/88, Panchshel Enclave, New Delhi	Lal Gumbad, Malviya Nagar	Prohibited area	(a)49.50m (b) 49.50m	Reonstruction of the building with 15m height alongwith third floor in place of mumty and machine room	North: Colony road and park South: Colony road and park East: Residential building with 12.50m height West: Residential building with 12.50m height. Road exist between the monument and property	Earlier building had ground floor only. New construction has started.	-	The permission for construction of 1 st and 2 nd floor over the existing ground floor had been granted in the EAC meeting held on 11-02-2008. Permission for and reconstruction of ground floor had been granted in the EAC meeting held on 07-05-2008. As per her application, permission had also been granted for reconstruction of building with 15mtr height including ground, first and second	The fresh request made by the applicant was explained by SA, Delhi Circle before the Committee, which recommended that the request may be rejected because earlier recommendation to permit construction of first and second floors only was based on the ground conditions. The Committee accordingly recommended rejection of the fresh request of the applicant to add a third floor.

									floor and the terrace floor will have only mumty/machine room along with stilt for car parking.	
25.	Shri M.P. Gupta, A-10, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi	Southern Gate of Humayun's Tomb	Prohibited area	(a) 82m (b) 82m	Reconstruction of the building with 16.793m (55.083feet) height with third floor alongwith mumty/machine room with basement 1.829m (6feet) below the ground level	North: Residential building with 12.50m height South: Residential building with 9.50 height East: Colony Road and park. West: Service lane and residential building with 12.50m height. Road and residential buildings exist between the monument and property	Earlier building had ground floor only. Presently new construction has been started.	Re-construction of new building since surrounding buildings are already constructed upto the height of 43 feet with basement, ground, first and second floors.	The permission for the construction of ground, 1 st and 2 nd floor had been granted in the EAC meeting held on 07-05-2008.	The Committee recommended that ASI may grant permission for reconstruction upto the maximum height at par with the height of neighbouring authorised buildings which exist as on date. Similarly, the ASI may also consider allowing the construction of basement provided the neighbouring buildings have a similar feature. It was desired that the SA, Delhi Circle may verify the facts and intimate to the Director General after which appropriate permissions may be issued.
26.	S-34, Green Park (Main),	Biranka Gumbad	Prohibited area	(a)84.5m (b)84.5m	Reconstruction of the building with 13.19m (43.25feet)	North: Commercial building with 9.00m to 12.00 m	Building exists with the height of 4m	The structure was constructed	The applicant has approved/sanction plan in the year 1964 for three	The Committee recommended that the ASI may accord permission for

	New Delhi Dr. Hans Raj (Power of Attorney of Dr. Santosh Kumar and Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta)				height which includes ground, first and second floor alongwith mumty with basement 3m (10feet) below the ground level	height. South: Commercial building with 8.00m to 12.50m height East: Open land and colony Road. West: Gali and Residential building with 9.50m height. Road exist between the monument and property	consisting ground floor and mumty.	in the year 1963. RCC framed structure needs to be erected to have a strong , safe and earthquake resistant.	floors but could not construct because of certain reasons.	reconstruction of the building with RCC frame and a basement as per MCD bye-laws. It was, however, further recommended that other conditions relating to height of the building imposed by the Expert Advisory Committee in its meeting held on 24.7.2008 would remain unaltered.
27.	S-34A, Green Park (Main), Dr. Hans Raj and Smt. Indrawati	Biran ka Gumbad	Prohibited area	(a) 84m (b) 84m	Reconstruction of the building with 13.19m (43.25feet) height which includes ground, first and second floor alongwith mumty with basement 3m (10 feet) below the ground level	North: Commercial building with 9.00m to 12.00 m height. South: Commercial building with 8.00m to 12.50m height East: Open land and colony Road. West: Gali and Residential building with 9.50m height. Road exist between the	Building exists with the height of 7.50m consisting ground and first floors .	The structure constructed in the year 1963 and RCC frame structure needs to be erected to have a strong , safe and earthquake resistant.	The applicant has approved/sanction plan in the year 1972 for three floors but could not constructed due to certain reasons	The fresh request made by the applicant was explained by SA, Delhi Circle before the Committee. The Committee noted that by acceding to the request of the applicant the overall situation is not going to affected. It was, therefore, recommended that the ASI may permit the applicants to reconstruct the building with RCC frame and a basement as per MCD bye-laws subject to other

						monument and property				conditions relating to height etc. already imposed as per the decision taken in the Expert Advisory Committee meeting held on 24.7.2008.
28.	Shri Pramath Raj Sinha & Gauri Verma N-154, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi	Siri Fort Wall	Prohibited Area	(a) 4m (b) 4m	Reconstruction of residential building with 12.384meters height from ground level upto mumty	North: Remains of Siri Fort Wall. South: Colony Road and residential building East: Residential building with 9.50m height West: Park.	Building is constructed with 7.672m approx height sanctioned by the DDA on 28-09-1978	Building is old and family needs have increased	The matter was placed before the Expert Advisory Committee on 20-12-2007 and permission for reconstruction upto maximum height of existing building with the condition that no vertical and horizontal expansion shall be undertaken.	The fresh appeal made by the applicant was explained by SA, Delhi Circle. The Committee recommended that the ASI may permit the applicant to revise the plan provided the total plinth area of construction does not increase vis-à-vis the earlier construction. The Committee also agreed to the request of the applicants to confirm that 'no vertical or horizontal expansion' as mentioned in the permission, is as per the reconstruction plan enclosed as submitted by the applicant, which has due authentication of the ASI (a copy of the reconstruction plan submitted by the applicants may be

										enclosed after due authentication).
29	Property No.C-85, Shivalik, New Delhi in favour of Sh. Ram Nivas Gupta	Group of Monument at Sarai Shah Ji	Prohibited area	(a) 52m (b) 52 m	Reconstruction of building with maximum height of 12.85m (42.13 feet) from ground level upto mumty/machine room along with 1.83m (6feet) deep basement.	North: Vacant plot for school. South: Residential building with 12.50 app. East: Road and residential building 9 to 10.5m height West: 6m to 9.50m	Vacant plot.	The applicant could not undertake construction after he was allotted the plot in 1988 due to some litigation which was finally settled in 2004. But by then, the 1992 Notification restricting construction in the prohibited area had come into force. His request for construction as such was rejected by	The matter is related to writ petition No. 18393/2005- Rehabilitation Ministry Employees Co-operative House Building Society Ltd. Shivalik V/S Archaeological Survey of India. The High Court has directed that the Committee of Archaeological Survey of India shall examine the cases of petitioners (Plot Nos. 1,21,24,46, 54,75,83,84,85,90 ,91,107,116 & 121 (14 nos) located in Block-C Shivalik, New Delhi) for grant of NOC and the decision of the Committee shall be placed on record by the	The Committee after assessing the ground conditions recommended that the ASI may permit construction of a building upto the maximum height of 10.50 m and permit construction of a basement within permissible height since the proposed construction with height so restricted shall not cause obstruction in viewing the monument as many buildings already exist in the close vicinity.

								ASI. The site falls in the prohibited area of Sarai Shahji, a protected monument at a distance of 52 m.	respondent with an additional affidavit before the next date of hearing which is fixed for 17.2.2009.	
30.	Property No.C-84, Shivalik, New Delhi in favour of Mrs. Ajeet Kaur	Sarai Shah Ji	Prohibited Area	(a) 6m (b) 11m from the nearest ruins .	Construction of residential building with 18.03 meters height from ground level upto machine room along with 1.82m (6' feet) basement	North: Vacant Plot and Residential building with 7.50m height. South: Path way leading to the monument and vacant plot East: Road West:Path way, open area and ancient ruins .	Vacant plot.	Mrs. Ajit Kaur intends to construct a building at the Property upto the height of 18.03 m including machine room with 1.82 m deep basement. The site falls in the prohibited area of Sarai	The matter is related to writ petition No. 18393/2005- Rehabilitation Ministry Employees Co-operative House Building Society Ltd. Shivalik V/S Archaeological Survey of India. The High Court has directed that the Committee of Archaeological Survey of India shall examine the cases of petitioners (Plot Nos. 1,21,24,46,	The Committee recommended that the ASI may grant permission for reconstruction at par with the height of the building existing next to the property i.e. C-82, Shivalik, New Delhi. It was recommended by the Committee that SA, Delhi must first ascertain the exact height of the neighbouring building and intimate the facts in detail to the Director General who may then grant the permission in consonance with the immediate environ.

								Shahji, a protected monument at a distance of 6 m. SA, Delhi Circle has informed that the applicant desires to construct a residential building with 18.03 meters height from ground level including machine room along with 1.82m (6' feet) deep basement.	54,75,83,84,85,90,91,107,116 & 121 (14 nos) located in Block-C Shivalik, New Delhi) for grant of NOC and the decision of the Committee shall be placed on record by the respondent with an additional affidavit before the next date of hearing which is fixed for 17.2.2009.	
31.	Property No. C-83, Shivalik, New Delhi in favour	Sarai Shah Ji	Prohibited area	(a) 6m (b) 11m from the nearest	The applicant wants construction of residential house with maximum height of	North: Residential building with 7.50m height. South: Pathway leading to the	Vacant plot.	The request is for grant of permission for construction of a	The matter relates to writ petition No. 18393/2005-Rehabilitation Ministry Employees Co-	The Committee recommended that the ASI may grant permission for construction upto the maximum height at par with the height of the

<p>of Shri Ram Dhan Khattar , S/o Shri Kanshi Ram and Capt. Inder Bir Singh Uppal, S/o Lt. Col. R.S. Uppal</p>			<p>st ruins .</p>	<p>13.71m (45 feet) alongwith 1.74m (5.708feet) deep basement below the ground level.</p>	<p>monument and vacant plot East: Road West:Path way, open area and ancient ruin.</p>			<p>building in prohibited area of Sarai Shahji, a protected monument at a distance of 6 m. The applicant wants to construct the house upto the height of 13.71 m with 1.74 m deep basement.</p>	<p>operative House Building Society Ltd. Shivalik V/S Archaeological Survey of India . The High Court directed that the committee of Archaeological Survey of India shall examine the cases of petitioners (Plot No.s 1,21,24,46 ,54,75,83,84,85,90,91,107,116 & 121 in the block-C Shivalik, New Delhi) for grant of NOC. The decision of the committee shall be placed on record by the respondent with an additional affidavit before the next date of hearing.</p>	<p>building existing at Property No. C-82 with basement, since the construction upto the height so restricted shall not mar the view of the monument as many buildings already exist in close vicinity. It was recommended that SA, Delhi Circle may ascertain and intimate the details to Director General who may then issue the permission duly mentioning the maximum permissible height.</p>
---	--	--	-------------------	---	---	--	--	---	--	---

LUCKNOW CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
32.	Director ,Sports, Sports Director ate, Khel Bhawan , Lucknow Govt. Director Sports, K.D. Singh Babu Stadium .	Tomb of Mushir Zadi wife of Saadat Ali Khan and Tomb of Saadat Ali Khan	Regulated area	30 m	The nearest point of proposed construction is 188 mtrs. from the protected limit of monument.	North-Road and Begum Hazarat Mahal Park South-Building approx. height 15 m West- Road and building approx. height 10 m East-Road and park. North-House approx height 4 m East- K.D. Singh Babu Stadium approx. height 10 m	--	--	Proposed site of construction is located in the regulated area. The applicant has already constructed the building without obtaining NOC from ASI and even after issue of show cause notice / demolition notice.	SA, Lucknow Circle has now submitted further details explaining the ground conditions. He made available more details and photographs of the buildings which fall in alignment between the monument and the structure raised by the Sports Directorate of Govt. of U.P., besides other buildings existing in nearby areas. The Committee recommended that the ASI may regularize the

						South-Road and Parivartan Chowk approx. height 15 m West- Road and building approx. height 22 m.				dormitory raised without first obtaining licence from the ASI subject to the condition that the mummy raised over the terrace is demolished and the maximum height of the building is restricted 15 m.
33.	Carlton Hotel Pvt. Ltd. Rana Pratap Marg, Lucknow	Tomb of Ghazi-ud-din Haider (Shahnajaf Imambara), Rana Pratap Marg, Lucknow	Prohibited-cum-regulated area	a)78.25mtr. b)-do-	Repair and renovation meant for strengthening, restoration and upkeep of the deteriorating condition of the structure. The building is located partly in prohibited and partly in regulated area of the protected monument. Repair work was started by the owner without obtaining prior	It is an application for repair/renovation. a)East- Open space and N.B.R.I. garden. West- Constructions upto a Max. height of 9—19m+mumty North-Gomti River South- Rana Pratap Marg-Carlton Hotel Building, Saharaganj complex. Height-	Carlton Hotel building was under repairs by the owners which was objected to by the ASI	Repair and renovation of hotel building	Plan has not been approved by the Circle Office as it falls partly in prohibited and partly in the regulated area of the protected monument and the repair work was started by the owner without obtaining prior permission.	The Committee recommended that the ASI may grant permission for repairs and renovation of the existing Hotel building subject to the condition that the owners shall not undertake any additional construction to increase the height and or plinth area of the existing building.

					permission.	<p>20.00+2.5m mumty b)East- Suryodaya Housing Society with residential buildings.Max. height-5— 13m+mumty West- Parking, Shahnajaf Road , commercial and residential buildings upto a max. height of 8—43m +mumty South-Saharaganj Mall with a height of 20+2.5m mumty. North-Rana Pratap Marg and protected monument-Tomb of Ghazi-du-din Haider. (31.10m including dome) c) Rana Pratap Marg, Lucknow.</p>				
--	--	--	--	--	-------------	--	--	--	--	--

BHUBANESHWAR CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
34.	Plot No.4(P) Khata No.244, in r/o Shri Prafulla Kumar Mallik and Shri pradipta Kumar Mallik.	Atharnala Bridge, Puri	Prohibited area	a) 50.00m. b) 50.00 m	New construction	There is no building adjacent to the plot. However, there are some single storied and double-storied buildings in front of the plot. In between the buildings and the plot a public road is passing.	Open plot	Residential building	The plot is under the possession of Shri Prafulla Kumar Mallik and Shri Pradipta Kumar Mallik.	The contents of the letter of Addl. Sub Collector forwarded by SA, Bhubaneswar Circle were read out before the Committee. The Committee recommended that the ASI may reject the request of the applicant since no other structure exists between the monument and the site of construction or even in the near vicinity. They also took note that the nomenclature of the land is ' <u>Gharabari</u> ' whereas the applicant

										wants to raise a commercial and residential building at the property. It was felt that the proposed construction will disturb the ambience of the monument and hence the request of the applicant deserves to be rejected by the ASI.
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

AGRA CIRCLE

SI No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
35.	Khasra No.3377 , Barnava, District Meerut, U.P. submitted by Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.	Lakha mandap , Barnava, Distt. Meerut	Protected area	Nil	Installation of BTS and 40 m tower	Archaeological mound a)15 m towards north-west of BTS tower site. b) --- c) No. The site of construction is itself within the protected area. Already installed BTS and 40 m tower.	Not applicable	For mobile telephone communication	1. Sr. CA, ASI, Meerut sub-Circle has lodged an FIR in the police station on 12.12.2005 and also issued PP Act Notice to Chief General Manager, BSNL, (CMTS), Meerut on 12.12.2005.	SA, Agra Circle presented the case with the help of photographs, area map, site plan and Google Earth image before the Committee members. After being apprised that the tower has been raised well within the protected area, the Committee recommended that the ASI must take firm and urgent steps for removal of the tower. DG desired that SA, Agra Circle should immediately initiate action for removal of the tower as

										per rules.
36.	Property No.18/129, near Municipal Maternity Hospital, Malko Gali, Taj Ganj, Agra in r/o Shri Islamudin, Agra.	Barah Khamba, Taj Ganj, Agra	Prohibited area	a)75.00 m b)7.60 m	--	North-Three storeyed building South-Lane and boundary wall of Nagar Nigam Hospital East-Single storey building West-Vacant land and double storey building Min.2.0 m approx. Max:9.0 m approx. North-Road, hospital of Nagar Nigam and a double storey building South-Double storey building East-Nagar Nigam hospital and road/mosque West-Single storey building Min:2.0 m approx. Max:9.0 m approx.	--	--	The details from SA, Agra Circle have not been received. He has, however, informed that because of inadequate time the details could not be collected from the site and hence the matter may be deferred for the next meeting.	The Committee recommended that the applicant to seek permission from Agra Development Authority in the first instance for undertaking repairs and renovation and then approach the ASI for its permission as required.

37.	61, Surya Nagar, Agra in favour of Seth Ratan Lal Entertainment Pvt. Ltd., 1,Prakash Enclave , Agra	Jhun Jhun Katora, Agra	Prohibited area	14 metres	Re-construction	a)Approximately 1.5 metres to 12 metres. b) Approximately 1.5 metres to 12 metres. c) A road of 14 metres in between.	Natraj Talkies, which is not operational.	The applicant has mentioned that the Talkies is not operational since 2004 due to poor condition of the building.	The Applicant had applied to the Circle office for NOC. But the Circle had denied the proposal vide letter of even No. dated 25.09-2008.	SA, Agra Circle presented the case with the help of photographs, area map, site plan and Google Earth image before the Committee members. The Committee observed that re-construction of the building which appears to be in good state as per the photographs presented, shall not be in the interest of the monument. They recommended that the request may be rejected by the ASI.

AURANGABAD CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
38.	Government land, General Manager (Civil), Civil Construction Circle, Maharashtra State Power Generation Compla	Balapur Fort, Dist. Akola	Prohibited and Regulated area	a) Bridge No.1 95 m distance from the Fort wall towards the south across the Man	Construction of three bridges across the rivers (i.e. Man & Mahais) in Akola District near the Balapur Fort, Dist. Akola (Maharashtra State)	-No structure around the monument. -No structure around the proposed site of construction. -No road lies between the monument and the site of construction.	NA	The construction of bridges across the river are in public interest and for the public convenience to pass from one place to another place. It will also generate	1) The applicant may be asked to submit an undertaking for taking up no other construction work on the proposed site falling within the prohibited area of the Fort in which the first bridge (Bidge No.I) falls. 2) During the digging for foundation blasting must be avoided.	ASAE of the Circle made a presentation which was found to be rather sketchy. The Committee desired that SA, Aurangabad Circle should be asked to submit proper map showing the exact locations of the bridges and other details to evaluate the proposal. The decision was accordingly deferred.

	ny Ltd., Paras, Tq.Balapur, Dist. Akola			river. b) Bridge No.II 185 m distance from the Fort wall towards the south- west across the Mahais river. c) Bridge No.II I 295 m distance from the Fort				the heavy tourist inflow to the Balapur Fort.	3) No other construction near the monument upto a distance of 100 m from the protected limit of the monument will be allowed. 4) The beautification works surrounding the monument must be executed under the guidance of ASI.	
--	---	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	---	--

				wall towards the north-west across the Mahais river.						
39.	Desh Concept, Hazare Layout, MIDC Road, Ram Nagar, Chandrapur, Maharashtra	Aanchaleswara Temple and Gondraja's Tomb, Dist. Chandrapur, Maharashtra	Prohibited area	-	The proposed construction is just by the side of the temple on the right bank along the fortification wall of the Gondraja Tomb.	At present no structure exists near the monument. No structure is located near the proposed sites of construction. A bridge connects Anchalesswar gate and Babupet across the Zarpat river.	No other construction near the monument upto a distance of 100 m from the protected limit of the monument will be allowed.	--	--	Since ASAE, Aurangabad was not in a position to give power-point presentation, the decision on the proposal was deferred.

CHENNAI CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
40.	Survey Field No. 475 of Kondakuppam and 857/1 of Melpadi village in Katpadi taluk of Vellore District. Tamilnadu submitted by	Sri Somanatha Temple	Prohibited area	a) 30.00 m b) 50.00 m	Construction of vented causeway across Ponnai river in Melpadi and Kondakuppam villages (Melpadi to Ponnai Road connection) approach road to the monument.	River bed a) 1.50 metres above the River bed level open space. b) 1.50 metres above the River bed level open space. c) No Road existing	The plan for the construction of vented causeway across Ponnai river was approved by competent authority viz. Chief Engineer, PWD, WRO, Chennai Region, Chennai-5.	Public convenience for Tourists and Pilgrims. Providing approach road to the monument.	The proposal is in the interest of public service for the convenience of villagers of two villages namely: Melpadi & Kondakuppam and also tourists and pilgrims who visit Vallimalai temple near the protected site and Sripuram temple in Vellore town. Further, it is a short-cut route for connecting Vallimalai,	SA, Chennai Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal with the help of photographs, drawings, area map and Google Earth image. She also explained that the construction of causeway shall benefit the visitors to the monument and the local villagers. Besides, the construction of causeway shall not cause any obstruction in viewing the monument. The Committee agreed with the views of the

	Executive Engineer, PWD WRO Upper Palar Basin Division, Vellore -6								Melpadi and Erukkampattu Monument.	SA and recommended that permission for construction of causeway may be granted by the ASI.
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--

CHANDIGARH CIRCLE

Sl No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protect ed/ Prohibi ted/ Regula ted Area	Dist- ance (a) from prot ected limit (b) from mon ument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
41.	Khasra No.1123 ,Mall Road, Amritsar (i) M/S Omaxe Limited , 7 LCS-Kalkaji, new Delhi (ii) M/S Omaxe Novelty Plaza c/o Jitender Singh	Summe r Palace of Mahara ja Ranjit Singh, Compa ny Bagh Comple x, Amritsar	Regulat ed area at a distance of 127 m from the protecte d limit of the monum ent	a) 218 m b) 127 m	New construction of Omaxe Novelty Plaza (Multiplex cum Shopping Mall)	a) Roads on all four sides of the monuments as shown in enclosed plan. The height of the buildings around the monuments varies from 10 m to 15 m approx. b) Roads on south and east side of proposed building for construction. The height of building around the proposed construction varies from 10 m to 15 m approx.	The building is raised upto the height of 18 m from the ground level with three storied basement below the ground level, violating the terms and condition of the licence issued by this office on 28.7.2007. it is pertinent to mention here that this	Nature and purpose of proposed construction is Multiplex-cum-Shopping Mall.	After cancelling of the licence the applicant has approached Director General, ASI, New Delhi.	SA, Chandigarh Circle gave a power-point presentation on the proposal and also explained the background of the case with the help of a few photographs. The Committee observed that with the kind of sketchy documentation done by SA, Chandigarh Circle, it is not possible to take a decision on merits of the case. It was, therefore, recommended that the SA, Chandigarh

	Sachdev a Khasra No.1123 , Mall Road, Amritsa r.					c) Yes, Mall road and M.M. Road lies between the protected monument and site of proposed construction.	office has accorded 15 m height of the proposed building and two storied basement only.			Circle may be asked to undertake better documentation of the monument, open spaces, existing buildings, etc. Besides, an area map showing the buildings already existing with their respective height should be prepared to explain the ground condition. Google Earth image of the area should also be made available to the Committee for perusal. The decision on the proposal was deferred.	
42.	Develop ment of Bhatind a Fort as Tourist' s destinat ion by Director , Touris m,	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	Department of Tourism, Government of Punjab to give a power-point presentation on their proposal before the members of the Committee for according in principle approval.	SA, Chandigarh Circle explained the proposal through a power-point presentation. The Committee after having appraised the components of the entire project felt that the proposal should be examined from the conservation point of view also involving Director

	Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh									(Conservation). The Committee also desired that the Department of Tourism, Government of Punjab may be requested to give power-point presentation on their Project before the Committee in the next meeting.
--	------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

BHOPAL CIRCLE

SI No	Property No. and Name of The Owner and Ownership Status	Name of Monument	Protected/ Prohibited/ Regulated Area	Distance (a) from protected limit (b) from monument	Proposed Activities and Details of Proposed Construction	Ground Conditions near the site of proposed construction	Present Condition and Details of Existing Building and Status of approved Plan	Reasons to undertake proposed work	Any other detail which may be relevant to be brought to the notice of the Expert Advisory Committee including court cases / any notice issued to the owner/ property	Recommendation of Expert Advisory Committee
43.	Rope-way by Nagar Palika Nigam, Gwalior near Jain Colossi-Ek Pathar-	Gwalior near Jain Colossi-Ek Pathar ki Baoli	--	--	--	--	--	--	(i) The road leading upto the Fort through Uravi Gate and the damages likely to be caused to the rock cut sculptures and caves due to vibration and vehicular pollution. (ii) The	The Committee recommended that a visit to Gwalior Fort may be organised in the first week of February, 2009 after ascertaining the convenience of the members so that the issues relating to grant of clearance for providing rope-way could be settled.

	ki-Baoli, Gwalior											<p>members also took note of pitching of rock surface with stone blocks. They felt that it is a bad example of conservation and desired that corrective measures should be taken, keeping in view the aesthetic value of the monument and the natural character of the rock surface.</p> <p>Concern was also expressed about the relatively large number of vehicles plying on the road leading upto the Fort because of high levels of vibration and vehicular pollution. The members desired that the vehicular traffic on the road needs to be</p>	
--	--------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

									reduced to the maximum extent possible and desired that the Gwalior Municipal Corporation should prepare a comprehensive master plan to reduce the number of vehicles plying on this road. The proposed master plan may also suggest alternate routes to the Fort, charging higher toll tax for allowing vehicles inside the Fort, plying of pollution free vehicles only, etc.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

